

If the Regulator Demands Your AI Assurance Evidence Tomorrow, Where Will You Find It?

Al transformation is creating a new category of governance failure, exposing Senior Managers to unprecedented personal liability under SM&CR. The core threat is systemic failure caused by overconfidence in statistically average Al outputs. This is a non-financial risk that the FCA, PRA, and Bank of England are actively scrutinising.

This diagnostic is not a technical checklist. It is a strategic self-diagnostic tool specifically designed for executive boards and senior leaders (SMFs) to assess your organisation's readiness to govern your AI systems.

This focused review spans...

- Strategic Alignment
- Model Risk & Outlier Management
- Governance & Accountability
- Human Oversight & Challenge
- Bias, Fairness & Compliance
- Operational Resilience & Monitoring
- Continuous Improvement

Crucially, this is a private self-assessment. The outputs are yours alone. There is no requirement to share the results with any internal or external party.

The results of this gap analysis provide the starting point for your compliance and assurance roadmap.

Download the associated executive brief <u>AI: An Amplifier of the Average</u> for a detailed analysis of the systemic risk identified in this Diagnostic.

Limited time? EXECUTIVE PRIORITY SNAPSHOT

If you do not have the time to complete the full gap analysis right now, focus your team on providing definitive answers to these **3 non-negotiable questions**.

- Have you secured board approval for a single, enterprise-wide AI risk framework and a formal AI risk appetite statement?
- 2. Have you quantified the scale of unapproved 'Shadow AI' usage across high-risk functions and are immediate controls in place to mitigate any Consumer Duty risks?
- 3. Do you have a tested AI Incident Playbook that specifically defines the critical first 7 days of regulatory reporting for an AI failure?

A "No" or "Not Sure" answer indicates the high probability of an immediate, critical governance gap and personal liability exposure that needs addressing within the next 90 days.



AI Risk Self-Diagnostic



This is a self-assessment tool for UK financial services boards to evaluate and benchmark their AI risk management maturity. The rating system is used to score your organisational capabilities across various AI risk governance dimensions and offers guidance on interpreting overall maturity scores.

Strategic alignment and governance: Assess how Al risk management is prioritised within the organisation, identify executive ownership, funding and internal capabilities for Al governance and examine the existence and review of Al risk frameworks and reporting to the board.

Model risk, human oversight, and compliance: Evaluates practices such as validation of AI models for rare events, stress-testing, monitoring for errors and biases, mandatory human-in-the-loop controls, staff training, escalation processes and alignment with regulatory requirements.

Operational resilience and continuous improvement: Review continuous independent monitoring of AI models, audit trails for AI decisions, preparedness for incident response, updating resilience plans for AI risks, regular updating of governance frameworks, independent risk assessments and learning from incidents to enhance AI risk management.

The overall maturity score interpretation ranges from "*Early Stage*" indicating high unmanaged AI risk exposure to "*Leading Practice*" reflecting well-governed and resilient AI risk management, guiding organisations on next steps for improvement.

How to Use This Diagnostic

For each question, score your organisation's current status:

- 1 = Not Started
- 2 = Early Stage / Ad Hoc
- 3 = Developing / In Progress
- 4 = Established / Consistent
- 5 = Leading Practice / Optimised

The **Notes** column is optional.

Important

This diagnostic is not about how you would score yourselves, but **how an independent assessor or a regulator** would score you **based on evidence**. Be prepared to support each answer with documentation, audit trails, or demonstrable practices.

Add up your scores for each section to identify strengths and areas for improvement.



Al Risk Self-Diagnostic



1	. S	TRΔ	TF	CIC	ΔI	ICI	JM	FI	NΤ
ı	ı. J	INA		JIU	AL	ıGı	ויוצ		ИI

1 = Not Started | 2 = Early Stage / Ad Hoc | 3 = Developing / In Progress | 4 = Established / Consistent | 5 = Leading Practice / Optimised

	Question	1	2	3	4	5	Notes		
1.1	How does managing AI risk rank compared to our other strategic priorities?								
1.2	Who is the named executive owner for AI risk and assurance?								
1.3	Do we have an existing AI risk/governance programme?								
1.4	Is there dedicated funding for AI risk management?								
1.5	Do we have the internal capabilities to deliver robust Al governance?								
1.6	If asked about our AI risk posture in 3 months, what progress do we expect?								
1.7	If we invest in AI risk management, what other initiatives may be impacted?								
	Rank your answers.								



AI Risk Self-Diagnostic



2	MODEL	DICK	2. OLITI	IED MA	NAGEME	NIT
Z.	MODEL	KIOK.	& UUIL	IER MA	NAGEME	.IVII

1 = Not Started | 2 = Early Stage / Ad Hoc | 3 = Developing / In Progress | 4 = Established / Consistent | 5 = Leading Practice / Optimised

	Question	1	2	3	4	5	Notes								
2.1	Have we validated AI models for rare, high-impact outlier cases?														
2.2	Do our models quantify and report confidence levels (UQ)?														
2.3	Are we stress-testing AI systems against adversarial scenarios?														
2.4	Are we monitoring for 'mismatched confidence' and hallucinations?														
2.5	Are model failures and near-misses reported to the board?														
		Rank y	our ansı	wers.		Rank your answers.									

Sum all ranked scores for this section. The maximum is 25 for this section.



Section 2 Score:





3. GOVERNANCE & ACCOUNTABILITY

	Question 1 2 3 4 5 Notes								
3.1	Is there clear, documented accountability for AI oversight (SM&CR)?								
3.2	Has the board reviewed our Al governance framework in the last 12 months?								
3.3	Are AI-related risks/incidents reported to the board rigorously?								
3.4	Are we prepared to demonstrate our AI risk management to regulators?								
	•	Rank y	our ansv	vers.		1			

Section 3 Score:	Sum all ranked scores for this section. The maximum is 20 for this section.
------------------	---





4				LIT O		ENIOE
4.	HUMA	IN UV	EKSIG	, HI&	CHALL	ENGE

	Question	1	2	3	4	5	Notes
4.1	Do we have mandatory 'human-in-the-loop' controls for high-stakes AI?						
4.2	Are staff trained to challenge and escalate AI outputs?						
4.3	Is there a clear escalation process for AI errors/anomalies?						
4.4	Are we auditing how human reviewers interact with AI?						
		Rank y	our ansı	vers.			





	5.	BIAS,	FAIRNES	S&CO	MPLIANCI
--	----	-------	----------------	------	----------

1 = Not Started | 2 = Early Stage / Ad Hoc | 3 = Developing / In Progress | 4 = Established / Consistent | 5 = Leading Practice / Optimised

	Question	1	2	3	4	5	Notes
5.1	Have we audited AI for bias in credit, risk, and compliance?						
5.2	Are we monitoring for disparate impact and taking corrective action?						
5.3	Are AI systems aligned with EU AI Act requirements?						
5.4	Are we tracking regulatory developments and updating compliance?						

Rank your answers.

Section 5 Score:	Sum all ranked scores for this section. The maximum is 20 for this section.
Section 5 Score:	Sum all ranked scores for this section. The maximum is 20 for this section.





<u>۾</u>		RESILIENCE & MONITORING
u.	OFLINATIONAL	. RESILIENCE & PONTIONING

	Question	1	2	3	4	5	Notes
6.1	Is there continuous, independent monitoring of AI model performance?						
6.2	Do we have robust audit trails for AI-driven decisions?						
6.3	Are we prepared for rapid response/remediation of Al incidents?						
6.4	Are operational resilience plans updated for AI-specific risks?						

Section 6 Score:	Sum all ranked scores for this section. The maximum is 20 for this sectio
Section 6 Score.	Sum attransed scores for this section. The maximum is 20 for this section





Al Risk Self-Diagnostic



7	COI	NITIN	IUOL	IQ I	MDD	\cap	/EM	EN.	T
/.	CO	$N \cap N$	IUUL	JOI	MPK	U	/EIYI	EN	ı

	Question	1	2	3	4	5	Notes
7.1	Are we regularly updating AI governance and risk frameworks?						
7.2	Do we conduct periodic independent AI risk assessments?						
7.3	Are lessons learned from incidents used to improve our approach?						
7.4	Are we regularly updating AI governance and risk frameworks?						
		Rank yo	our ansi	wers.			

Section 7 Score: Sum all ranked scores for this section. The maximum is 20 for this se
--



Al Risk Self-Diagnostic



MATURITY SCORES

Total Score:	Sum all section scores above. The maximum for all sections is 160.
Section 7 Score: CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	The maximum is 20 for this section.
Section 6 Score: OPERATIONAL RESILIENCE & MONITORING	The maximum is 20 for this section.
Section 5 Score: BIAS, FAIRNESS & COMPLIANCE	The maximum is 20 for this section.
Section 4 Score: HUMAN OVERSIGHT & CHALLENGE	The maximum is 20 for this section.
Section 3 Score: GOVERNANCE & ACCOUNTABILITY	The maximum is 20 for this section.
Section 2 Score: MODEL RISK & OUTLIER MANAGEMENT	The maximum is 25 for this section.
Section 1 Score: STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT	The maximum is 35 for this section.







SCORE INTERPRETATION

Score	Category	Position	Recommendation	Comments	
130 and Above	Leading Practice	Al risk is well-governed and resilient	Schedule periodic independent audits.	This is high-performing organisation, but it will still benefit from independent, external validation to confirm the high score and produce the defensible evidence required by the board and regulator.	
100–129	Established	Good foundations, but some gaps remain	Utilise training to align executive perspectives.	The organisation has a basic structure but needs to align its senior leaders on a single, defensible framework to mature their governance, moving from compliance to control.	
70–99	Developing	Progress made, but significant improvement needed	Prioritise action. Use resource augmentation or external consultants if	The score indicates an immediate, systemic governance gap requiring a prioritised, external intervention before the regulator intervenes.	
Below 70	Early Stage	High risk of unmanaged Al exposure	internally constrained by capability or capacity.	This is a high-stakes, time-sensitive liability mitigation service.	





OPERATIONALISING RESILIENCE: YOUR NEXT STEP

To achieve genuine operational resilience and regulatory defensibility, senior leaders require both clear visibility of their AI risk exposure and the practical tools to manage it. **ADVANTAGE AI** provides a dual solution tailored for high-stakes, regulated UK financial services organizations:

1. Independent Al Risk Assessments & Audits

We provide independent AI risk assessments that offer clarity on an organization's current management, technical, and operational capabilities. These assessments deliver a prioritized roadmap of remediation actions to address identified gaps and support robust board-level assurance.

2. The Executive AI Masterclass (Self-Paced Training)

The self-paced masterclass is for Board Executives and Senior Leaders (SMFs) needing to rapidly close the AI governance gap. Delivered in bite-sized content to fit busy schedules, this program transforms strategic risk into a competitive advantage by enabling you to:

- Own SM&CR Liability: Directly articulate the personal liability exposure created by the Al governance gap.
- Secure Board Approval: Draft the essential board paper to secure formal approval for AI risk appetite and a single enterprise framework.
- Ensure Operational Resilience: Develop and implement an Al Incident Playbook focused on the critical first 7 days of regulatory reporting.
- Control Shadow AI: Quantify the scale of "Shadow AI" and implement controls to mitigate immediate data-leak and Consumer Duty risks.

We don't sell AI systems. We deliver regulatory assurance. Independently.

Take control of your AI risk exposure before the regulator does. Contact us today...

Web: https://advantage-ai.co.uk
Phone: +44 (0)7471 359987
Email: info@advantage-ai.co.uk

